

Adaptive System and Signal Processing Theory (#9: Method of Steepest Descent & Least Mean Square)



2023. Spring

Prof. Byung-Gyu Kim Intelligent Vision Processing Lab. (IVPL) http://ivpl.sookmyung.ac.kr Dept. of IT Engineering, Sookmyung Women's University E-mail: bg.kim@ivpl.sookmyung.ac.kr









Contents

- Method of Steepest Descent
- Least-Mean-Square (LMS) Algorithm

.....









Contents

- Method of Steepest Descent
 Least-Mean-Square (LMS) Algorithm

Method of Steepest Descent(1) - Chap. 8 (p. 302~)

Steepest Descent Method

- Gradient-based adaptation of tap-weight vector of Linear FIR filter.
- Using a deterministic feedback system that finds the minimum point of the error-performance surface without any knowledge of the surface itself.
- Some Preliminaries
 - Tap inputs : $u[n], u[n-1], \dots, u[n-(M-1)]$ Tap weights: $w_0(n), w_1(n), \dots, w_{M-1}(n)$

 - Desired response: d[n]
 - U_n is the space spanned by the tap inputs u[n], u[n-1], \cdots , u[n-(M-1)].
 - The estimate of the desired response: $\hat{d}(n|\mathcal{U}_n)$
 - The estimation error $e[n] = d[n] \hat{d}[n|\mathcal{U}_n]$ $= d[n] - \mathbf{w}^H[n]\mathbf{u}[n],$ (1)where $w[n] = [w_0(n), w_1(n), \dots, w_{M-1}(n)]^T$.

$$\mathbf{u}[n] = [u[n], \ u[n-1], \cdots, \ u[n-(M-1)]]^T$$

Wide-sense stochastic process of zero mean and the correlation matrix R.

Method of Steepest Descent(2)



Structure of adaptive transversal (FIR) filter.



Method of Steepest Descent(3)

• Cost function J[n] (in MSE sense)

$$J[n] = \sigma_d^2 - \sum w_k^* p(-k) - \sum w_k^* p^*(-k) + \sum_k \sum_i w_k^* w_i r(i-k)$$

= $\sigma_d^2 - \mathbf{w}^H[n] \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{pw}[n] + \mathbf{w}^H[n] \mathbf{Rw}[n].$ (4)
 \bigvee Varies with time n.

- The adaptive process has a task of seeking the bottom or minimum point of the error-performance surface as iteration time n.
- > Definitely, at the minimum point, the tap-weight vector is w_o which is from Wiener-Hopf equation.

Steepest-Descent Algorithm

When input data rate is high and filter contains a large number of tap weights, there exist serious computational difficulties.



An alternative procedure: method of steepest descent.



Method of Steepest Descent(4)

- Procedure of the steepest descent method
 - 1. With an initial value w(0) for the tap-weight vector, which provide an initial guess where the minimum point of the error-performance surface may be located. Unless w(0) is available, w(0) is usually the null.
 - 2. With the initial or present guess, we compute the gradient vector which is defined as the derivative of the mean-squared error J[n] (on the real and imaginary part).
 - 3. We compute the next guess at the tap weight vector by making change in the initial or present guess in direction opposite to that of the gradient vector.
 - 4. We go back to (Step2) and repeat the process.

It is intuitively reasonable that successive corrections to the tap-weight vector in the direction of the negative of the gradient vector (i.e. in the direction of the steepest descent of the error-surface) should lead to the minimum mean-squared error $J_{min}(w_o)$.



Method of Steepest Descent(5)

Let $\nabla J(n)$ denote the value of the gradient vector at time *n* and w(n) denote the tap-weight vector at time n.

According to the definition of the steepest descent,

$$\mathbf{w}(n+1) = \mathbf{w}(n) + \frac{1}{2}\mu[-\nabla J(n)].$$
 (5)

 $\blacktriangleright \mu$: positive real value (step size) that controls the size of incremental correction as time goes, and

$$\nabla J[n] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial J[n]}{\partial a_0[n]} + j \frac{\partial J[n]}{\partial b_0[n]} \\ \frac{\partial J[n]}{\partial a_1[n]} + j \frac{\partial J[n]}{\partial b_1[n]} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial J[n]}{\partial a_{M-1}[n]} + j \frac{\partial J[n]}{\partial b_{M-1}[n]} \end{bmatrix}$$
(6)
$$= -2\mathbf{p} + 2\mathbf{R}\mathbf{w}(n). \qquad \nabla_k J = -2p(-k) + 2\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} w_i r(i-k)$$

$$- \therefore \mathbf{w}(n+1) = \mathbf{w}(n) + \mu[\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{w}(n)], n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

$$Mathematical formulation of the steepest descent algorithm Feedback model}$$



Stability of The Steepest Descent Algorithm

Since the steepest descent method has a feedback loop,

- \blacktriangleright μ (step size)
- Correlation matrix \mathbf{R} of the tap-weight vector $\mathbf{u}[n]$.
- Condition for stability of ~: $0 < \mu < \frac{-}{\lambda_{max}}$ = the max. eigen value of **R**.





Method of Steepest Descent(5): Stability of The Steepest Descent Algorithm (2)

- How to select the size of μ ?
 - ► Not too large, not too small.
 - ▶ Because of no exact mathematical analysis, we should evaluate it by experimentation.



Bank of computing the corrections to the element of tap-weights.



Method of Steepest Descent(5)

- Error Surface: Monotonically Decreasing or Increasing
 - One global minimum





Method of Steepest Descent(6)

- Error Surface: Non-monotonically Decreasing or Increasing
 - Many local minima (minimums)
 - One global minimum





Method of Steepest Descent(7)

- Steepest-Descents Path
 - Perpendicular to tangent slope at each point.







Method of Steepest Descent(8)

• Effect of the size of μ (step size or learning rate)



Large learning rate: Overshooting.

Small learning rate: Many iterations until convergence and trapping in local minima.











Contents

- Method of Steepest Descent
- Least-Mean-Square (LMS) Algorithm

.....

Least-Mean-Square (LMS) Algorithm (1): Chap. 9 (p.365~)

- Least-Mean-Square (LMS) Algorithm
 - Feature
 - Its simplicity.
 - ▶ It does not measurements of the pertinent correlation nor does it require matrix inversion.
- Overview of the LMS Algorithm
 - Two basic operations
 - Filtering process
 - Compute the output of the transversal filter.
 - Generate an estimation error by comparing the output to a desired response.
 - Adaptive process
 - Involves the automatic adjustment of the tap-weights of the filter as the estimation error.



- Least-Mean-Square (LMS) Adaptation Algorithm
 - Problem in reality
 - Exact measurements of the gradient vector $(\nabla J(n))$ are not possible since this would require prior knowledge of both the \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{p} .
 - So the gradient vector must be estimated from the available data.
- Development of Estimate of $\nabla J(n)$

$$\nabla J(n) = -2\mathbf{p} + 2\mathbf{R}\mathbf{w}(n). \tag{1}$$

- Estimates of R and P.
 - A Simple choice of estimators is to use instantaneous estimates based on sample values of the tap-input vector and desired response as given by

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}(n) = \mathbf{u}[n]\mathbf{u}^H[n].$$
(2)

$$\widehat{\mathbf{p}}(n) = \mathbf{u}[n]d^*[n].$$
(3)



Least-Mean-Square (LMS) Algorithm (2): LMS Adaptation Algorithm (2)

Then, the instantaneous gradient vector ($\hat{\nabla} J(n)$) is given as

$$\widehat{\nabla}J(n) = -2\mathbf{u}[n]d^*[n] + 2\mathbf{u}[n]\mathbf{u}^H[n]\mathbf{w}(n). \quad (4)$$

• Estimates $\widehat{\nabla} J(n)$ may be viewed as the gradient vector ∇ applied to the instantaneous squared error $|e[n]|^2$.

Using the adaptation equation of the steepest descent, we can write new recursion as: With initial guess w[0],

- 1. Filter output : $y[n] = \mathbf{w}^H[n]\mathbf{u}[n]$.
- 2. Estimation error: e[n] = d[n] y[n].
- 3. Tap-weight adaptation: $\hat{\mathbf{w}}(n+1) = \hat{\mathbf{w}}[n] + \mu \mathbf{u}[n]e^*[n]$.

Adaptive Least-Mean-Square (LMS) Algorithm

At each iteration or time update, it also requires knowledge of the most recent values: ŵ[n], d[n], u[n]
 The estimate of R and P have relatively large variance, So it cannot give good performance with a high precision.



Least-Mean-Square (LMS) Algorithm (2): LMS Adaptation Algorithm (3)

- Steepest Descent Method vs. LMS Algorithm
 - Major difference in the gradient vector $\nabla J(n)$.
 - ▶ In LMS algorithm, $u[n-k]e^*[n]$ is used as an estimator of element k in $\nabla J(n)$.
 - Expectation operator is missed out from all the paths of the steepest descent.
 - This provides simplicity of implementation, but suffers from a gradient noise.
 - Aspect of minimum mean-squared error,
 - Min. mean-squared error:

$$J(n) \rightarrow \widehat{J}_{min}$$
 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \leftarrow Because of the gradient noise,

With the optimal solution J_{min} , the difference between two minimum errors can be defined as "excess mean-squared error" by:

$$J_{ex}(\infty) = J(\infty) - J_{min}$$

= $\hat{J}_{min} - J_{min}$. (5)

A price paid for using adaptation mechanism to control the tap-weight in the LMS algorithm in place of the steepest descent method.



Least-Mean-Square (LMS) Algorithm (2): LMS Adaptation Algorithm (4)

• Bank of computing correction terms of the Tap-weights vector



The steepest descent method.

The LMS algorithm.



Convergence Criteria

- When can we stop the iterations or time update?
 - ► A stronger criterion: $E[||\epsilon(n)||] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (in the mean.) where $\epsilon(n) = \mathbf{w}(n) - \mathbf{w}_o$.
 - Another way of describing the convergence of the LMS algorithm:

 $-J[n] = E[|e(n)|^2] \rightarrow \text{constant as } n \rightarrow \infty$. (in the mean-square)

→ If amount of change between cost values at time n and n-1(previous) is less than the predefined threshold value, then we may say that the filter system has converged to the stable state.

Summary of The LMS Algorithm

- 1. Filter output : $y[n] = \mathbf{w}^H[n]\mathbf{u}[n].$ 2. Estimation error:e[n] = d[n] y[n].
- 3. Tap-weight adaptation: $\hat{\mathbf{w}}(n+1) = \hat{\mathbf{w}}[n] + \mu \mathbf{u}[n]e^*[n]$.



HW#4

- ✤ P. 406: Computer Experiment on Adaptive Prediction
 - SGD vs LMS 기법의 수렴성 및 특성 비교
 - 결과는 Fig. 9.14에 도시되어 있음

✤ 기한: to next week.





Thank you for your attention.!!! QnA

http://ivpl.sookmyung.ac.kr